INTRODUCTION
There is increased pressure on University Lecturers to incorporate audio/visual digital technologies (e.g. podcasts) into their teaching. The existing small, but growing body of published research in Higher Education (McGarr (2009); Baker (2008); Lazzari (2009)) is predominantly focused on:

- Studies of perceived value
- Usage of the technology
- Podcasts as supplementary teaching material

Baker et al., (2008) “a significant volume” of material exists that both praise and regret the inclusion of podcasts into university curricula.

Critics claim that podcasts reduce:

- Students active participation
- Students’ comprehension of subject material
- Student attendance
- Student time management skills

Supporters claim that podcasts could:

- Replace large lectures
- Supplement course material
- Enhance students’ time management skills

Motivation for current research: to add to the body of knowledge in empirical studies evaluating the benefits or detriments attributed to educational applications of podcasting.

Aim: to evaluate the academic effectiveness of lecture delivery in both:

- Live lecture
- Streamed lecture (group & individual podcasts)

Academic Effectiveness was operationally defined as the performance on tests designed to explore the degree of academic comprehension/retention of lecture material.

METHOD
157 first year Social Psychology students were randomly assigned to one of three conditions of lecture delivery. The three methods of delivery were:

- Live lecture (n=65)
- Screened lecture in lecture theatre (n=69)
- Lecture delivered to individual work stations (n=23)

The lecture was of 30 minutes duration, the topic chosen was Illusory Correlations which was unlikely to be familiar to the students and deemed to be conceptually difficult.

Academic performance was tested using an MCQ test, administered following the lecture delivery. There were five questions:

- Factual (3)
- Conceptual (2)

Student experience of the lecture was extracted from a Learning Experience Feedback Questionnaire (LEFQ).

RESULTS

MCQ Results: A Kruskal Wallis test indicated significant differences in academic performance across the three delivery methods ($\chi^2(2, N=157) =22.14, p<.001$).

Examination of the descriptive statistics suggested that those students at the screened lecture had poorer results on the MCQ test than those in the other lecture delivery conditions.

The lecture was of 30 minutes duration, the topic chosen was Illusory Correlations which was unlikely to be familiar to the students and deemed to be conceptually difficult.

Learning Experience Feedback Questionnaire (LEFQ) Content Analysis

Q. Where could improvements be made in today’s lecture?

Features most frequently mentioned:

- Interaction with lecturer (questions)
- Speed of delivery (slow down slides)

Q. What do you feel were the best features of today’s lecture?

Features most frequently mentioned:

- Slides
- Examples used to illustrate the concepts
- Lecturer
- PowerPoint Presentation

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the study indicate that type of delivery may impact on academic effectiveness.

Future Studies: Factors to be controlled and/or manipulated include:

- Slide perspectives (size, acuity etc.)
- One/two way interaction with students
- Student lecture strategies e.g.
  - Multitasking
  - Designated note taker
- Lecture content (e.g. ‘rabble rousing’ vs. complex)
- Age and maturity of students
- Duration of digitzed instruction
- Gender of lecturer
- Repeat exposure
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